

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain Special Order speeches without prejudice to the possible resumption of business.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CROWLEY. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, July 18, 2005, I was not in Washington due to weather delays that stranded my flight and therefore I was unable to vote. If I were here, I would like the RECORD to reflect I would have voted "yes" on rollcall vote 380, "yes" on rollcall vote 381, and "yes" on rollcall vote 382.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2005, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

BIDDING A FOND FAREWELL TO ANITA AND TOMMY MAGGIO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to recognize someone who is very dear to me, a member of my staff who has been with me since I was elected to Congress almost 16 years ago.

While Ms. Anita Maggio has been part of my office family since 1989, she has actually dutifully served Capitol Hill for 34 years. She has always been a dear friend to me and to the people of south Florida. Anita is a steadfast, loyal and dedicated member of my staff.

While other young staffers have come and gone, Anita has been the unwavering anchor in my office. Not only does Anita's mere presence boost morale in our office, but also she is a clearinghouse for information and contacts. Anita knows just about everybody on Capitol Hill, and everyone knows her. She has built a network of friends that is unequalled anywhere on the Hill. Everyone she meets is touched by her kindness and by her warmth.

Even though she does not have any children of her own, she has adopted all of us, including the staffers from other offices. She has the uncanny ability to make everyone feel loved and comfortable.

Anita Maggio is a very special soul. Her sensitivity and compassion touch all those who are blessed to know her.

Before joining my team, Anita was employed by my predecessor, the late Congressman Claude Pepper. Over the years, she has developed an intimate knowledge of the district and of the residents of south Florida.

When Anita retires, she will leave a void in my office that no other indi-

vidual will ever be able to fill. She will be retiring with her husband of 42 years, Tommy Maggio. Over the years, all of us have come to know Tommy Maggio. If you are lucky enough to know Tommy, you will know that he will be retiring from the Rayburn House garage after working there for an amazing 32 years.

It is with great sadness that I bid a fond farewell to one of the most special women I have ever met, a trusted friend and a member of the Ros-Lehtinen and Capitol Hill family, Anita Maggio. Anita will be forever remembered in our hearts.

We love you, Anita; and we love you, Tommy. Please do not make her cook for you every single night. Anita deserves a rest. Be good to her.

KEEPING JOBS IN AMERICA BY VOTING "NO" ON CAFTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, as we approach the prospect of a vote on yet another free trade agreement, putting a stamp of approval potentially on the failures of U.S. trade policy and the \$2 billion-a-day deficit that we are running, Members should approach this vote with caution.

First, they should be concerned about this bait-and-switch, the idea that we will before that vote have a vote to get tough with China, to begin to actually use our existing laws and authority to deal with the counterfeiting and the theft and the unfair trade practices of China.

We do not need to pass a bill to do that. The administration should just do it. Use the laws. Use the trade agreements. They told us that is why they wanted China in the WTO, that they were going to enforce sanctions against China. They are not filing complaints against China under the existing laws.

They do not need new authority; they just need to use their existing powers. So that is an attempt to give some cover to some of the weak-kneed around here who want to stick with the President who is saying it is his highest priority to extend the failed trade policies of the United States to another five nations in Latin America.

The President was, unfortunately, sadly in error last weekend when he went down to the south and said to the textile workers who have been devastated by these free trade policies that this would be good for them and the American economy.

All the President has to do, and he probably has not had a chance to read it yet, but the United States International Trade Commission issued a report a year ago, 11 months ago, on CAFTA; and they said that in fact it is likely to have minimal impact on production, employment or prices in the United States. They went on to say

that, yes, it would cause a tiny bump up in exports, but guess what? Like every other trade agreement the U.S. has ever entered into, it would be a much bigger bump up in imports.

More lost jobs here at home will result from CAFTA. Do not be fooled. Think back to the predictions about the wonderful results that we were going to see from NAFTA and the fact that it was going to create 400,000 jobs in the United States. It actually logs 800,000. They were off by 1.2 million jobs. CAFTA will have the same net result.

We need a new trade policy, a trade policy that brings and keeps jobs that pay decent wages and provides benefits home here to the United States of America. We do not need to accelerate the race to the bottom. We do not need to ask the few remaining textile workers we have in this country to compete with people down in Central America who earn 50 cents an hour. And then to say that those people who earn 50 cents an hour are going to provide a tremendous boon to the U.S. economy because they will be buying luxury SUVs made in America and all sorts of other products manufactured here on that 50 cent an hour salary is so absurd that it is hard to believe that any thinking Member will swallow that argument.

If you just want to rubber-stamp, if you just want to follow the President and support the continued bipartisan failures of trade, Bill Clinton was a disaster on these issues, too, if you want to march down that path, then you can vote for CAFTA. But if you want to benefit the American people, manufacturing in the United States of America, our standard of living, our national security, if you want to see a turnaround in the \$2 billion a day we are borrowing from the rest of the world to finance our overseas manufacturing, then you will vote "no" on CAFTA, and a new day will dawn where we bring and keep jobs home to America.

VOTE "NO" ON CAFTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I am back on the floor again tonight, just like the gentleman that just spoke. I am from North Carolina, a State I am greatly proud of; but I am so concerned about this CAFTA bill.

I want to just go back to 1992, to the Presidential debates of that year, 1992. I want to quote one of the candidates for the United States Presidency, Ross Perot: "You implement that NAFTA, the Mexican Trade Agreement, where they pay people a dollar an hour, have no health care, no retirement, no pollution controls, and you are going to hear a giant sucking sound of jobs being pulled out of this country, right at a time when we need the tax base to pay the debt of this Nation."